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ABSTRACT
A Simple, Sensitive, Accurate and precise reverse phase high performance liquid chromatographic method has been developed for the simultaneous estimation of Olmesartan medoxomil and Cilnidipine. The purposed RP-HPLC method utilizes stationary phase consist of symmetry C18 (250 x 4.6mm, 5 μm in particle size) with a mobile phase comprising of Acetonitrile:Buffer (75:25 %v/v) pH 6.5 adjusted by 1 % Triethylamine at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min, column temperature of 25°C and UV detection at 265nm. The retention time of Olmesartan medoxomil and Cilnidipine were 2.655 min and 4.720 min respectively. The linearity were found to be in the range of 10-90 μg/ml and 20-180 μg/ml for Cilnidipine and Olmesartan medoxomil respectively. The % Recovery were found to be 99.26±0.226 for Cilnidipine and 99.51 ± 0.3030 for Olmesartan medoxomil for. The proposed method was validated as per ICH guidelines and successfully applied for the determination of drugs in tablet.
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INTRODUCTION
Cilnidipine O3-(2-methoxyethyl) O5-[(E)-3-(phenylprop-2-enyl) 2,6-dimethyl-4-(3-nitrophenyl)-1,4-dihydropyridine- 3,5-dicarboxylate is a novel and unique dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker that possesses a slow-onset, long lasting vasodilating effect1. Olmesartan medoxomil, is a prodrug that works by blocking the binding of angiotensin II to the AT1 receptors in vascular muscle; it is therefore independent of angiotensin II synthesis pathways, unlike ACE inhibitors. By blocking the binding rather than the synthesis of angiotensin II, Olmesartan inhibits the negative regulatory feedback on renin secretion. As a result of this blockage, Olmesartan reduces vasoconstriction and the secretion of aldosterone. This lowers blood pressure by producing vasodilation, and decreasing peripheral resistance.2,3 Review of Literature revealed that, there are some methods available for estimation of Cilnidipine & Olmesartan medoxomil individually & in combination with other drugs/dosage forms. But, still there is not a single method existing for simultaneous estimation of Cilnidipine & Olmesartan medoxomil combined dosage form. So, it is thought of interest to develop analytical method for estimation of both the drugs simultaneously in combined dosage forms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drug and chemicals
All the chemical and reagents used were of analytical grade. Cilnidipine and Olmesartan medoxomil were obtained as gift sample from Pure Chem. Ankleshwar and Cadila Pharma, Ahmedabad. The combined dosage contains Cilnidipine 10 mg and Olmesartan medoxomil 20 mg of the drug was procured from local market.

HPLC apparatus
The separation was performed by using C-18 (250mm x 4.6mm, 5 μm ).Column on a shimadzu LC
20 AT isocratic solvent delivery system. Shimadzu SPD-20A dual wavelength absorbance detector and Rheodyne injector. The mobile phase was freshly prepared, filtered and sonicated before use and delivered at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min and the detector wavelength was set at 265 nm. The injection volume was 20 μl.

Stock solution and standard
Standard stock solution was prepared for 1000 μg/ml by using Cilnidipine and Olmesartan medoxomil separated by using mobile phase. From the standard stock solution different concentration of working standard were prepared from the range of 10 to 90 μg/ml for Cilnidipine and 20 to 180 μg/ml for Olmesartan medoxomil.

Calibration curve
The calibration curve were constructed for the determination of linearity and the curves were plotted with the concentration range verses area must obey the Beer’s law. The linearity was evaluated by the analysis of serially diluted sample in range of 10 to 90 μg/ml for Cilnidipine and 20 to 180 μg/ml for Olmesartan medoxomil. An aliquot was injected by using of methanol. The 20 μl mixture was injected for the estimation under the optimized chromatographic condition. The retention time of standard Olmesartan medoxomil and Cilnidipine were found to be 2.655 and 4.720 min respectively with a good resolution.

Analysis of formulation
Twenty tablets were weighted and finely powdered. A quantity equivalent to 10 mg Cilnidipine and 20 mg Olmesartan medoxomil were transferred into volumetric flask and dissolved in to mobile phase. The solution was ultrasonicated for 10 min and filtered through 0.45 μ nylon membrane and degassed and the volume was made up to mark with same system. Above solution was taken to prepare a dilution of 100 μg/ml Cilnidipine and 200 μg/ml Olmesartan medoxomil. The amount of drug was determined and three replicate injection were done.

RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

METHOD DEVELOPMENT
Several tests were performed in order to get satisfactory separation and the resolution of Cilnidipine and Olmesartan medoxomil in different mobile phase with various ratios of organic phase and buffers by using C18 column. The ideal buffer was 20mm phosphate buffer (pH 6.5): acetonitrile v/v by isocratic elution to obtain satisfactory and good resolution. The change in pH of mobile phase does not show any significant change in retention time of each analyte. The retention of Cilnidipine and Olmesartan medoxomil on analytical column was evaluated at the flow rate of 1.0 ml/min and injection volume was 20 μl. The retention time of standard and sample for Cilnidipine and olmesartan medoxomil was satisfactory with good resolution.

LINEARITY
The linearity for HPLC method was determined at five concentration levels. The linearity of Cilnidipine and Olmesartan medoxomil were determined by calibration curves and the linearity based on area observed in the range of 10-90 μg/ml for Cilnidipine and 20-180 μg/ml for Olmesartan medoxomil. The relative standard deviation of peak area and the retention time was within the limit. Indicates that, the method were system suitable. The reports are tabulated in Table-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>CILNIDIPINE (n=5)</th>
<th>OLMESARTAN MEDOXOMIL (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retention Time (min)</td>
<td>4.720</td>
<td>2.655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak area</td>
<td>596431</td>
<td>502685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tailing Factor</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical Plates</td>
<td>3445.061</td>
<td>2879.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearity Range</td>
<td>10-90 μg/mL</td>
<td>20-180 μg/mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: System Suitability Parameters
Correlation coefficient ($R^2$) | 0.9982 | 0.9951
---|---|---
Precision (%RSD) | Interday | 0.932 | 0.862
Intraday | 0.267 | 0.434
LOD ($\mu g/mL$) | 0.130 | 0.790
LOQ ($\mu g/mL$) | 0.395 | 2.397

**PRECISION**

Precision was measured for both inter-day and intra-day and checked with repeatability and the %RSD for the repeatability was found to be 0.267% and 0.434% respectively for Cilnidipine and Olmesartan medoxomil. The % RSD was found within the limits and tabulated in **Table-1**. The limit of quantification was determined by injecting minimum concentration of drugs. The limit of quantification was found to be 0.39 $\mu g/mL$ for Cilnidipine and 2.39 $\mu g/mL$ for Olmesartan medoxomil.

**RECOVERY STUDIES**

The assay procedure was repeated for standard and sample in five times and mean peak area ratio and concentration of drugs were calculated. The percentage of individual drugs found in formulation, mean, and % RSD in formulation were calculated and show in **Table 2**. Recovery studies carried out for both drugs. It is usually done by adding 80 %, 100 % and 120 % of the pure drug with the formulation taken for analysis. The average % recovery for Cilnidipine and Olmesartan medoxomil was found to be 99.26% and 99.51% respectively. The result was represented in **Table 3**.

### Table-2 Analysis of Marketed formulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Cilnidipine</th>
<th>Olmesartan medoxomil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Label claim(mg/tab)</td>
<td>Amount found(mg/tab ± RSD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOXOVAS-O</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.90 ± 0.368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-3 Recovery studies of Cilnidipine and Olmesartan medoxomil in combined dosage form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Cilnidipine</th>
<th>Olmesartan medoxomil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% recovered</td>
<td>% recovery ± RSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>17.86</td>
<td>99.29 ± 0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>19.81</td>
<td>99.05 ± 0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120%</td>
<td>21.87</td>
<td>99.46 ± 0.238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPECIFICITY AND SELECTIVITY
Specificity was tested against standard compounds and potential interferences. To determine specificity with respect to sample compounds the response of standard and sample solution were compared. No interferences were detected at the retention time of either Cilnidipine or Olmesartan medoxomil in sample solution. The limit of detection was determined at lowest concentration giving response and limit of quantification was determined at the lowest concentration .The limit of detection for Cilnidipine and Olmesartan medoxomil was found to be 0.130 μg/ml and 0.790 μg/ml respectively. The limit of quantification was found to be 0.39 μg/ml for Cilnidipine and 2.39 μg/ml for Olmesartan medoxomil and was given in Table-1.

RUGGEDNESS AND ROBUSTNESS
Ruggedness test was determined by different analyst in different days using similar operational environmental conditions. Robustness of the method was determined by changing the wave length and flow rate. The content of the drug was not adversely affected by these changes as evident from the low value of relative standards deviation indicating that the method was rugged and robust.

DISCUSSION
Considering the efficiency of HPLC, attempt has been made to develop simple, accurate, precise, rapid and economic method for simultaneous estimation of Cilnidipine and Olmesartan medoxomil in tablet dosage form. Thus method described enables to the quantification Olmesartan medoxomil .thus advantages lie in the simplicity of sample preparation and the low costs of reagents used. Experimental results were indicated of satisfactory precision and reproducibility. Hence, this method can be used for analysis of solid dosage form in quality control department. All the analytical validation parameters for these proposed methods were determined according to ICH guideline.4

CONCLUSION
The methods described for the determination of Cilnidipine and Olmesartan medoxomil in marketed tablet formulation can successfully employed for the determination of Cilnidipine and Olmesartan medoxomil in marketed formulation for routine analysis in quality control laboratories.
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