

## DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF RP-HPLC METHOD FOR ESTIMATION OF FEBUXOSTAT IN TABLET DOSAGE FORM

Anuradha. S\*, Jagadeesh. B and Vinod. G

Department of Pharmacognosy & Analysis, Chaitanya College of Pharmacy Education & Research,  
Hanamkonda, Warangal, India-506001.

\*Corresponding Author Email: [radha.anu33@gmail.com](mailto:radha.anu33@gmail.com)

### ABSTRACT

A RP-HPLC method was developed for the estimation of Febuxostat in tablet formulation, which is novel to the market. Chromatographic separation of the drug was achieved on a Phenomenex kinetex C-18, 5 $\mu$ m, column (250  $\times$  4.6 mm) using a mobile phase, Methanol: Ammonium phosphate buffer pH-3 (80:20 V/V) at a flow rate of 1ml/min. The drug eluted was monitored at 275 nm. The retention time was 3.24min. The calibration curve was linear over the range of 1-700 $\mu$ g/ml. The performance of the method was validated according to ICH guidelines. The method can be applied for determination of drug in its tablet dosage form without any interference from excipients or degradant substances. The proposed method is suitable for routine quality control analysis.

### KEY WORDS

RP-HPLC, Febuxostat & validation

### INTRODUCTION

Febuxostat is a non-purine-selective inhibitor of xanthine oxidase. It works by non-competitively blocking the molybdenum pterincenter which is the active site on xanthine oxidase. Xanthine oxidase is needed to successively oxidize both hypoxanthine and xanthine to uric acid. Hence, febuxostat inhibits xanthine oxidase, therefore reducing production of uric acid. Febuxostat inhibits both oxidized as well as reduced form of xanthine oxidase because of which febuxostat cannot be easily displaced from the molybdenum pterin site.

There are few methods reported in literature for analysis of Febuxostat drug in the pure form by UV-Spectrophotometer, RP-HPLC, UPLC and HPTLC. But there was no RP-HPLC method reported till date for the estimation of Febuxostat Hydrochloride in tablet dosage form. [1-4]




Figure 1: Structure of Febuxostat

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Chemicals and reagents:

An analytically pure sample of FEBUXOSTAT was procured as gifted sample from Aurobindo Pharma Ltd. (Hyderabad, India). Pharmaceutical tablet dosage form of Febuxostat (Fabulas-40) was manufactured by Intas pharmaceutical Ltd. Label claim 40mg. Acetonitrile (HPLC grade), methanol (HPLC grade), Orthophosphoric acid, Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and Hydrochloric acid (HCl), Ammonium dihydrogen phosphate and Potassium hydroxide (KOH) were purchased from Merck (India). All chemicals were of analytical grade and used as received.

## METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND OPTIMIZATION

Initial set of chromatographic conditions were defined based on analyte molecules. Phenomenex kinetex C-18 G, 250mm × 4.6mm, 5μm, was selected because it has good separation capability. Mobile phase for RP-HPLC contains Methanol: Ammonium phosphate buffer pH-3 (80:20 V/V). The overall run time was 10 min. Flow rate of about 1ml/min was selected since it was taken as optimum flow rate for the selected column conditions. Detection wavelength of 275nm and injection volume of 20μl was selected to increase detection capability.

### Preparation of the Mobile Phase and the Diluent

The Methanol: Ammonium phosphate buffer were mixed together in the ratio of 80:20 %v/v (pH was adjusted to 3), as the mobile phase. Methanol: Water (80:20 v/v) solution was used as the diluent for preparing drug solutions.

**Preparation of Buffer:** 0.5 gm of Ammonium dihydrogen phosphate was accurately weighed, transferred in to a 100-ml volumetric flask, dissolved by adding HPLC water and diluted to mark with water.

### Preparation of standard solution:

Standard solution was prepared by transferring 1ml of standard stock solution in to 10 ml volumetric flask and diluted to volume with methanol-water and filtered through 0.45μm membrane filter to get a stock solution containing 100μg/ml of Febuxostat.

### Preparation of test solution:

Twenty tablets were weighed accurately and crushed to form a fine powder. Accurately weighed a quantity of powder equivalent to 50 mg of Febuxostat and was transferred in to a 50 ml of volumetric flask, 50ml of methanol was added. The flask was then sonicated for 5 minutes and then made up to the mark with methanol. This gives a stock solution of Febuxostat having concentration of 1000μg/ml. Stock solution was then filtered with whatmann filter paper and washed with methanol, after it was filtered through 0.45μm membrane filter. Further dilution was prepared by pipetting 1ml of above stock solution in to 10ml volumetric flask and diluted to volume with methanol-water. Then, this solution was filtered through a 0.45μm membrane filter and sonicated for 2min. This was marked as Test solution (100μg/ml).

### Preparation of Blank solution:

In to 10 ml volumetric flask, 5 ml of methanol-water was added and sonicated for 5min. solution was then diluted to mark with methanol-water.

## Analysis of formulation:

After setting the chromatographic conditions, the instrument was stabilized to obtain a steady baseline. Then, equal volumes of blank, standard preparation and test preparation thrice were injected separately into the column and the chromatograms were recorded. Peak area response of analyte peak was measured.

$$\text{Amount} = \frac{\text{concentration obtained} \times \text{Dilution factor} \times \text{Average weight}}{\text{Sample taken for analysis}}$$

$$\% \text{ Assay} = \frac{\text{Amount obtained}}{\text{Label claim}} \times 100$$

## System Suitability Test (SST):

**Procedure:** Under optimized chromatographic conditions, System Suitability Test was carried. It was performed by injecting blank solution once and standard solution of 100μg/mL test concentration six times in to stabilized HPLC system. % RSD for peak areas of Febuxostat was determined below 2%. To establish System suitability, last peak of six injections was evaluated. System suitability was conducted by evaluating parameters like retention factor (k'), repeatability, resolution (R), tailing factor (T) and theoretical plates (N).

## METHOD VALIDATION

The method was validated for system suitability, linearity, and limit of quantitation (LOQ), limit of detection (LOD), precision, accuracy, selectivity and robustness [5].

### Linearity

**Procedure:** Linearity of the method was demonstrated over the concentration range of 1-700μg/ml Febuxostat. Each concentration was prepared in triplicate. 20μl of each standard solution were injected at the optimized chromatographic conditions and the chromatograms were recorded. The retention time, average peak areas were recorded. Calibration curves were constructed by plotting peak area on Y-axis against concentration on X-axis and regression equation was calculated by the method of least squares. The correlation coefficient, y-intercept, slope of the regression line were submitted.

### Accuracy:

#### Procedure:

Accuracy of the method was established by performing recovery studies according to the ICH guidelines. It was

ascertained on the basis of recovery studies by standard addition method. Recovery studies were carried out at three different levels (50%, 100%, and 150%) by the addition of standard drug to pre-analyzed sample solution each in triplicate. Mean percentage recovery values at three different concentrations of drug were calculated.

#### **Precision**

**System Precision:** It expresses the precision of the system. It includes analysis on system.

**Procedure:** It was performed by injecting six replicate injections of standard solution at 200µg/mL concentration under same experimental conditions. The mean value, standard deviation and % RSD was calculated for all six replicate injections.

**Method Precision:** It expresses the precision of the method.

**Procedure:** It was performed by injecting six replicate injections of standard solution at 150µg/mL concentration under same experimental conditions. The mean value, standard deviation and % RSD was calculated for all six replicate injections.

**Intermediate precision:** It expresses the precision within laboratory variations. It includes full analysis on different days, instruments or analysts.

**Procedure:** It was performed by injecting six replicate injections of test solution at 100µg/mL concentration on different days under same experimental conditions. The mean value, standard deviation and %RSD was calculated for all six replicate injections.

#### **Robustness**

To evaluate the robustness of the developed RP-HPLC method, small deliberate variations in the optimized chromatographic conditions were done i.e., variation in flow rates ( $0.1 \pm$  ml/min), concentration of organic phase ( $\pm 2\%$ ) and detection wavelengths ( $\pm 3$ nm). It was performed using 50 µg/ml. Even though by inducing variations in mobile phase composition, detection wavelength and flow rate.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The method development trials were performed on the working standard at 100% level. Several combinations of chromatographic conditions were tried but the optimum results were achieved with Phenomenex kinetex C-18 (250mm x 4.6mm, 5µ) column, mobile phase mixture constituting methanol and  $\text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4$  buffer in a ratio of 80:20, 1ml/min flow rate at a

detection wavelength of 275nm. Peak of Febuxostat was achieved with good resolution, peak shape and symmetry at  $R_t$  3.24.min.


The assay was performed on the tablet formulation (Febulas-40, Intas pharmaceuticals Ltd), and the % drug content of Febuxostat was found to be 101%, which was within the acceptance limits. As the peak of analyte was well resolved and had no interference of excipients, it was concluded that the proposed method was specific to the drug under study. The linearity of the proposed method was accomplished from the correlation coefficients of the standard calibration curve of Febuxostat which were constructed at concentration ranges of 1-700µg/ml. The correlation coefficient was found to be 0.998 which were in compliance with the acceptance criteria.

The accuracy of the proposed method was evaluated from the recovery studies, by standard addition method which was performed at three levels of 50%, 100% and 150% of the label claims of Febuxostat. The mean percentage recoveries at each level of Febuxostat were found to be 98.9-101% which fell within the acceptance limits. The precision of the proposed method was established from the %RSDs of the percentage assays of the drugs at the levels of repeatability, intermediate precision (inter-day, analyst-analyst). The %RSDs of Febuxostat at inter-day precision were found to be 0.70% and 0.85% respectively and at the level of analyst-analyst variation, they were found to be 0.73% and 0.92% respectively. As the %RSDs were found to be within the acceptance limit (%RSD < 2) at all the levels, the proposed method was said to be precise. Robustness of the proposed method was demonstrated by making deliberate changes in the flow rate, wavelength and concentration of organic phase from the optimized condition of the developed method and computing the %RSD of the peak areas. The %RSD at 0.9ml/min was found to be 0.72% and at 1.1ml/min was found to be 1.60%. At a mobile phase composition of methanol and phosphate buffer in a ratio of 82:18, the RSD was found to be 1.14% and at a ratio of 78:22 of methanol and buffer, it was found to be 0.65% respectively. As the %RSDs were found to be within the acceptance limit (%RSD < 2), the proposed method was said to be robust. The system suitability of the proposed method was accomplished from the resolution, theoretical plate count and asymmetric factor of Febuxostat at the


optimized conditions. The parameters were recorded and tabulated (table 2) and were found to be in compliance with the acceptance specifications. As all the validation parameters studied, complied with the acceptance criteria, the proposed method was said to be validated in accordance with ICH guidelines.

A simple and rapid reversed-phase HPLC method was developed and validated according to ICH guidelines for


the determination of Febuxostat in tablet dosage form. The developed RP-HPLC method was proved to be simple, rapid, robust, and reproducible. The validation data signifies good specificity, accuracy, precision and reliability of the method. The method can be used for routine practices in the laboratories, for estimation of Febuxostat in tablet dosage form and bulk drug.




**Fig 2: Chromatogram of Optimized method**



**Fig 3: Chromatogram of Blank**



**Fig 4: Chromatogram of standard**



**Fig 5: Chromatogram of Test Formulation**




Fig 6: Overlain Chromatogram for Linearity




Fig 7: Linearity Graph of Febuxostat




Fig 8: Representative Chromatogram for Accuracy (50% spiked level)




Fig 9: Representative Chromatogram for Accuracy (100 % spiked level)




Fig 10: Representative Chromatogram for Accuracy (150% spiked level)

Table 1: Peak Results for Optimized method

S.NO	Peak	R <sub>t</sub>	Peak area	USP count	USP plate	USP tailing	Observation
1	Febuxostat	3.830	4283323	5248		1.17	All system parameters were within the limits.

Table2: Results of System Suitability Test

Injection	Peak area	Retention time (R <sub>t</sub> )	USP plate count	USP tailing factor
1	4182927	3.83	5248	1.17
2	4290124	3.83	5245	1.18
3	4250194	3.83	5259	1.17
4	4241044	3.83	5236	1.20
5	4231380	3.82	5234	1.17
6	4310519	3.82	5246	1.19
Mean	4251031	3.826	5244.667	1.18
SD	45148.2	0.0051	9.025889	0.126
%RSD	1.06	0.13	0.17	1.07

Table 4: Linearity data for Febuxostat

S.NO	Febuxostat Concentration (µg/ml)	Peak Area
1	1	184126
2	5	920648
3	10	1603670
4	100	4754669
5	200	7273972
6	300	9919685
7	400	13303221
8	500	16352023
9	600	19615202
10	700	22318384
Statistical analysis	Slope	30822
	y-intercept	94497
	Correlation Coefficient(R <sup>2</sup> )	0.998

**Table 5: Overlain Peak Results of System precision**

Injections	Peak area
1	7762834
2	7834892
3	7829254
4	7832923
5	7758071
6	7824426
<b>Mean</b>	7807067
<b>SD</b>	
<b>%RSD</b>	36313.98
	0.465

**Table 6: Overlain Peak Results of Method precision**

Injections	Peak area
1	6782196
2	6816724
3	6803331
4	6849483
5	6857140
6	6771107
<b>Mean</b>	6813330
<b>S.D</b>	34899.75
<b>%RSD</b>	0.51

**Table 7: Intermediate Precision Results**

S.NO	Day I	Day II
Injections	Peak area	Peak area
1	4782835	4862067
2	4844891	4798072
3	4829256	4854096
4	4832926	4748038
5	4758072	4816094
6	4824424	4821234
<b>Mean</b>		
	4812067	4816600
<b>S.D</b>		
<b>%RSD</b>	33854.51	41325.45
	0.703534	0.85798

Table 8: Accuracy Study data

Spiked levels	Standard		Sample	Spiked		Mean peak area	Amount recovered (µg/ml)	% Recovered
	Conc. (µg/ml)	Peak Area	Conc. (50µg/ml)	Conc. (µg/ml)	Peak Area			
50%	100	3348261	1827620	150	5201024	5212841	151.5	101
		3346799			5199261			
		3349861			5238238			
100%	200	6104804	1827684	250	7789234	7831118	245.7	98.3
		6200141			7852698			
		6105243			7851422			
150%	300	8344637	1827654	350	10192812	10210388	348.2	99.5
		8364197			10202829			
		8424613			10235523			
		MEAN 1827652						

Table 9: Robustness Study Data of Febuxostat

Parameter	Concentration (150 µg/ml)	Mean peak area	%RSD
Mobile phase composition (Methanol: Ammonium phosphate buffer)	80:20 v/v	3813330	0.91
	+2% (82:18)	3788240	1.14
	-2% (78:22)	4293859	0.65
Flow rate	(±0.1) 1 ml/min	4411012	1.52
	(+0.1) 1.1 ml/min	3990932	0.72
	(-0.1) 0.9 ml/min	4732868	1.60
Wavelength (nm)	224	5600186	1.04
	227	4251031	1.06
	230	2595031	1.15

## REFERENCES

- [1] Sudhir S Muvvala, Venkata Nadh Ratnakaram and Rama Rao Nadendla. A Validated RP-HPLC Method for the Estimation of Febuxostat in Bulk Drugs. International Journal of PharmTech Research 4 (4): (2012)
- [2] Ashwini Gunda, N. Aravindsai, Karnaker Reddy.T, Anand Kumar.A. Estimation of Febuxostat drug present in formulation by RP-HPLC. Journal of Pharmacy Research 2012,5(2)
- [3] Challa Sudheer, S. Alekhya, P. Lavanya, E. Mounika, T. Mahalakshmi, A. Sireesha, B. Tirumaleswara rao1. Method Development and Validation for The Estimation of Febuxostat In Drug Substance By RP-HPLC Method. International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical and Nano Sciences. 6(2), 2017, 55 - 60.
- [4] Ich Harmonised Tripartite Guideline. Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology. Q2 (R1)

**\*Corresponding Author:**

**Anuradha. S\***

Email: [radha.anu33@gmail.com](mailto:radha.anu33@gmail.com)