



RP-HPLC Method Development and Validation of Chrysin in Bulk and Marketed Formulation

K. Vajramma¹, Shaheen Begum^{1*}, SK Arifa Begum², Jayasree¹ and K. Bharathi

¹Institute of Pharmaceutical Technology, Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India, 517502.

²Avanti College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Guntapally, Hyderabad.

Received: 7 Oct 2018/ Accepted: 9 Nov 2018/ Published online: 01Jan 2019

Corresponding Author Email: Shaheen.pharmchem@gmail.com

Abstract

Chrysin has interesting activity profile. It exerts anti-inflammatory, anticancer, hypoglycemic, antioxidant and anti-ageing properties. In this study a novel, simple, precise and linear reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography method (RP-HPLC) is described for bulk and marketed formulation of chrysin. Methanolic solution of chrysin was injected (injection volume 20µl at a flow rate 1ml/min) in reversed phase column using acetonitrile and methanol (65:35V/V) as mobile phase. Detection was set at 268 nm (λ_{max}). The method was found to be linear with correlation coefficient of 0.99 (0.2-6.4 mg of chrysin). Using this method it was possible to detect chrysin at 3.39 min of retention time and method is validated by determining all the validation parameters.

Keywords

Chrysin, Flavonoid, Method validation, Marketed formulation, RP-HPLC.

INTRODUCTION

Chrysin (**Fig.1:** 5, 7-di hydroxyl-2-phenyl-4H-chromen-4-one) belongs to flavone class possessing potential antioxidant activity. The other noteworthy pharmacological activities of chrysin are hepatoprotection, anti-inflammatory and antiaromatase activity. *Passiflora caerulea*, *passiflora incarnate* extracts contain noticeable amounts of chrysin. Literature indicated that upon oral administration it is rapidly excreted from the body and hence its bioavailability is very poor [1].

Ultraviolet-spectroscopy, High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), reversed-phase HPLC (RP-HPLC) based methods were developed for the analysis and validation of chrysin (**Table.1**) [2-14]. Recently Ying Jia et al developed and validated ultra-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) for the simultaneous estimation of chrysin and tectochrysin (*Alpinia oxyphylla* fruits extract) [14]. With an aim to estimate

chrysin at less retention time it was planned to develop and validate a simple RP-HPLC method.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Instruments used

Denver M-220D Analytical balance was used for weighing, Enertech Sonicator was used for the sonication, Shimadzu UV-1800 Ultraviolet-Visible Spectrophotometer was used for spectrophotometric determination and Shimadzu L201447 HPLC was used for the chromatographic separation.

Chemicals

Pharmaceutical grade pure chrysin (Active pharmaceutical ingredient) sample was procured from Sigma Aldrich, Reference Standard chrysin was purchased from MRM manufacturer, Disodium hydrogen orthophosphate dehydrate, methanol, sodium chloride, potassium bromide, acetonitrile (HPLC Grade) and methanol (HPLC Grade) were purchased from Merck chemicals.

UV-SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC ESTIMATION OF CHRYSIN

Solvent selection

Different solvents like water, methanol, 0.1N sodium hydroxide and phosphate buffer (P^H 6.8) were used but as phosphate buffer gave a single distinct peak with good absorbance for chrysin, it was employed as the solvent.

Preparation of buffer P^H 6.8

3.5g of disodium hydroxide orthophosphate dehydrate was dissolved in 100ml of water. The P^H was adjusted to 6.8 ± 0.05 with ortho phosphoric acid and filtered through 0.45μ membrane filter.

Determination of λ_{max}

$10\mu\text{g/ml}$ chrysin solution was prepared by using phosphate buffer as a solvent. A single distinct peak with good absorbance was obtained at 268 nm hence it was considered as λ_{max} .

METHOD DEVELOPMENT OF CHRYSIN USING RP-HPLC

Preparation of solutions used in the present work

1) Preparation of mobile phase solution

A degassed mixture of acetonitrile and methanol in ratio of 65:35 v/v was prepared, and the P^H 6.8 adjusted by using phosphate buffer.

2) Preparation of standard solution and sample solution

100 mg of chrysin was accurately weighed and transferred into a 100 ml volumetric flask, dissolve with diluents. Take 1ml of this solution into 10 ml volumetric flask and then makeup mark with diluents. Similarly test samples were prepared using chrysin

OPTIMIZATION OF THE METHOD

Reverse-Phase column (Hypersil BDS C_{18} : $5\mu\text{m}$, spherical, 250×4.6 mm dimension) was selected for chromatographic separation. Chrysin was freely soluble in acetonitrile and DMSO (Dimethyl sulfoxide) where as it was slightly soluble in methanol. Four trials were performed by changing the concentrations of mobile phase (Table-2). At higher concentrations of acetonitrile broad peak was obtained where the ratio of acetonitrile and methanol was set at 65:35, symmetric peak was observed at room temperature. The diluent was used to prepare standard and sample solutions. The chromatogram of chrysin was observed by injecting $20\mu\text{l}$ solution and the flow rate was adjusted to 1ml/min.

VALIDATION PARAMETERS

Validation of the optimized method was performed according to the ICH Guidelines [15-17].

System Suitability

System suitability was carried out with six replicate injections of chrysin solution into the chromatographic system. The results were obtained as SD (Standard Deviation) and %RSD (Relative Standard Deviation) (Table.3).

Linearity

For determination of linearity, appropriate aliquots were prepared with concentrations of 25%, 50%, 75%, 100%, 125% and 150%. To prepare these solutions, 0.2 – 6.4 ml standard solutions were pipetted out into a series of 10 ml volumetric flasks and volume was made with the solvent. Average peak area was determined by introducing standard solutions into the column (in duplicate) Calibration curve was plotted with observed peak area against concentration followed by the determination of regression equation and calculation of the correlation coefficient. Calibration curve for chrysin was shown in Fig.3 and their corresponding linearity parameters were given in Table-4.

Specificity

The blank was injected to check the interference for the sample peak by injecting $20\mu\text{l}$ of blank solution (Table-5).

Method Precision

The repeatability of the method was verified by calculating the % RSD for the area of six replicate injections of chrysin ($16\mu\text{g/ml}$). The results were given in Table.6. % RSD for peak area and for retention time were found to be 0.67 and 0.65 respectively. To perform the intermediate precision the above mentioned method was followed on different days by applying same chromatographic

conditions. The % RSD for peak area was found to be within the specified limits.

Robustness

To report robustness, variation in flow rate and wavelength were studied (**Table-7**). Injections were delivered by changing the flow rate (range: 0.8-1.2 ml/min; optimized flow rate: 1 ml/min).

To study the effect of variation in wavelength standard solutions were eluted and their presence was detected at different wavelengths (265 nm & 270 nm; optimized wavelength 268 nm).

Accuracy

To calculate % recovery, the standard addition method was followed and the results were given in Table-8. For this samples were spiked with 20 μ l of solutions of 50, 100 and 150% standard solutions of chrysin.

LOD (Limit of Detection)

The LOD and LOQ were calculated by using the formulas $LOD=3.3\sigma/s$ and $LOQ=10\sigma/s$.

$LOD=3.3 \times \text{standard deviation of intercept/average of slope}$

$LOD=3.3 \times 78571.24/102323 = 2.533$

The LOD of standard solution of chrysin was found to be 2.533

LOQ (Limit of Quantification)

$LOQ= 10 \times \text{Standard deviation of intercept/average slope}$

$LOQ=10 \times 78571.24/102323 = 7.678$

The LOD of the standard solution of chrysin was found to be 7.678

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To optimize the RP-HPLC method, a series of four trials were made with different mobile phase compositions and finally 65:35; v/v mixture of acetonitrile and methanol was selected as mobile

phase. Chrysin was found to have appreciable absorbance at 268 nm when determined spectrophotometrically and hence it was selected as detection wavelength. At optimized chromatographic conditions, symmetric peak and better resolution were observed for chrysin. An optimized chromatogram showing retention values was shown in figure-2.

%RSD value for peak area was found to be 1.4 and for retention time it was obtained as 0.19. As %RSD values are within specified limits (<2) it can be said that system suitability had met the requirements of the method validation. Within the concentration range of 0.2- 6.4 mg/ml of chrysin the method resulted in linear plot with correlation coefficient of 0.99 indicating that the method is linear. The proposed method was found to be precise and reproducible with %RSD was found to be <2 % (0.67 for retention time and 0.65 for peak area). The accuracy of the method was verified by performing recovery studies and values are in the range of 99.0-100.6 which were within the acceptance criteria (98 – 102%). The method was found to be specific after verifying the chromatograms showing that there is no interference of the blank. The limit of detection and quantification values for chrysin was found to be 2.533 and 7.678 respectively. The results of robustness of present method had shown that changes made in flow rate and wavelength did not produce significant changes in analytical results. As the changes are not significant it can be said that the method is robust.

This method is suitable to estimate chrysin using RP-HPLC at retention time of 3.39 min, fulfilling the objective of the present study. The study also concludes that the developed method is a simple and precise.

Table: 1 Details of various analytical methods used for the estimation of Chrysin (single or in combination)

Reference	Details of the method	Compounds analyzed
Careri M et al., 1999[2]	Method employed : Liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry(LC/MS) with turbo ion spray	Chrysin
	Column : C ₁₈ narrow bore column	
	Mobile phase : formic acid and acetonitrile (20:80, v/v)	
	Flow rate : 200 μ L/min	
Gremiao et al., 2003 [3]	Detection wavelength : 280 nm- 370 nm and elution of the solvent mixture for 7 min	Chrysin (<i>Propolis extract</i>)
	Method employed : HPLC with UV detection	
	Column : chromsep RP 18	
	Mobile phase : water and acetonitrile (97.5:2.5, v/v)	
	Detection wavelength : 310 nm	
	Retention time : 29 min	

Walle et al., 2001[4]	Method employed: HPLC with Photodiode array detector Mobile phase : methanol (55%) and trifluoro acetic acid (0.3%) Detection wavelength: 268 nm Retention time: Chrysin-19.8min Chrysin glucuronide - 3.7 min Chrysin sulphate - 6.7 min	Chrysin
Kyoung Soon Kim et al., 2002 [5]	Method employed: HPLC with UV detector Column : Nova pack C₁₈ column, Mobile phase: methanol and phosphoric acid (79:21), Column temperature: room temperature Flow rate : 1ml/min Detection wavelength: 280nm Retention time : within 10 min	Various alkyl and acyl derivatives of chrysin
Maria C et al., 2003 [6]	Method employed: HPLC with diode array detector Column : Luna C₁₈ column, Column temp : 30°C. Mobile phase : formic acid:acetonitrile:2-propanol (70:22:8) Flow rate : 0.2 ml/min Detection wavelength: 306 nm- 370 nm. Retention time : < 20 min	Chrysin
Zaveri M et al., 2008 [7]	Method employed: RP-HPLC with UV detection Column : Hypersil BPS-RP C₁₈ Mobile phase : water: methanol: acetonitrile: ortho phosphoric acid(60:30:38:1 v/v/v/v) Flow rate : 1 ml/min Detection wavelength : 262nm Retention time : 12.31 min	Chrysin (<i>Oroxylum indicum</i>)
Saraf Aparna et al., 2011 [8]	Method employed: HPTLC(High pressure thin layer chromatography) Mobile phase : chloroform: methanol: formic acid (8.8:0.7:0.5) Detection wavelength : 254nm and 366 nm Scanner : Camag TLC scanner Method employed: HPLC method Column : Diamonsil C₁₈	Chrysin (<i>Oroxylum indicum</i>)
Nie P et al., 2013 [9]	Mobile phase : methanol : phosphoric acid Flow rate : 1 ml/min Detection wavelength : 268 nm Retention time : 25 min(Chrysin) and 8 min(Galangin)	Chrysin and Galangin
Cui-ping Z et al., 2014 [10]	Method employed: RP-HPLC (High performance liquid chromatography) with MS (mass spectrometry) and diode array detection Column : HP-C₁₈ column Mobile phase : 1% formic acid and methanol Flow rate : 1.0 ml/min Detection wavelength: 280 nm	Chrysin (<i>Chinese propolis</i>)

Wen-TingTang et al.,2014 [11]	Retention time : around 65 min	Chrysin (<i>Scutellaria baicalensis</i>)
	Method employed: LC-UV-ESI-Q/TOF/MS	
	Column :C ₁₈ (4.6 mm x 150 mm, 5µm)	
	Flow rate : 0.3 ml/min	
Keumhan Noh et al., 2016 [12]	Detection wavelength: 278 nm	Chrysin
	Retention time : 14.81 min	
	Method employed: HPLC method with MS(Mass spectrometry)	
	Column : Atlantis dc C ₁₈ column	
Vallabani madhavarao et al.,2018 [13]	Mobile phase : 0.1% formic acid and acetonitrile	Chrysin
	Flow rate : 0.25 ml/min	
	Retention time : 7 min	
	Method employed: Simultaneous RP-HPLC	
Ying Jia et al., 2018 [14]	Column: Hypersil BDS C ₁₈ column	Chrysin and Tectochrysin (<i>Alpinia oxyphylla</i>)
	Mobile phase : water and acetonitrile (55:45v/v)	
	Column temp : 25 ⁰ c	
	Flow rate : 1.0ml/min	
	Detector : photo diode array	
	Detection wavelength: 263nm	
	Method employed: UPLC-MS/MS(Mass spectrometry)	
	Column : ACQUITY UPLC BEH C ₁₈	
	Mobile phase : 0.1% Formic acid and methanol	
	Detection : Multiple monitoring with electrospray ionization in the positive ion mode	
	Retention time : 2.09 min (Chrysin)	
	3.30 min (Tectochrysin)	

Table -2: Trial conditions in HPLC method development

Chromatographic Conditions	Trial Condition–1	Trial Condition–2	Trial Condition– 3	Trial Condition-4
Column	Hypersil BDS C ₁₈ , 250 x 4.6mm, 5µ	Hypersil BDS C ₁₈ , 250 x 4.6mm, 5µ	Hypersil BDS C ₁₈ , 250 x 4.6mm, 5µ	Hypersil BDS C ₁₈ , 250 x 4.6mm, 5µ
Flow rate	1 mL/min	1 mL/min	1 mL/min	5mL/min
Mobile phase	Acetonitrile: methanol (75:25)%v/v	Acetonitrile: methanol (60:40) % v/v	Acetonitrile: methanol (50:50) % v/v	Acetonitrile: methanol (65:35)% v/v
Detection wavelength	UV, 268 nm	UV, 268 nm	UV, 268 nm	UV, 268 nm
Injection volume	20µl	20µl	20µl	20µl
Column temperature	Ambient	Ambient	Ambient	Ambient
Run time and Run mode	Gradient, 10 min	Gradient, 10 min	Gradient, 10 min	Gradient, 10 min
Conclusion	Peak shape was not good.	Broad peak was obtained.	Slight changes in peak symmetry were observed.	The peak was symmetric

Table-3: Suitability studies for chrysin

S.NO	Chrysin peak area	Retention time
1	2202835	3.140
2	2238912	3.140
3	2226511	3.409
4	2225246	3.411
5	2204540	3.394
6	2288455	3.412
AVG	2231083.16	3.392
SD	31337.8	0.0067
%RSD	1.4	0.19

Table-4: Linearity of standard chrysin

Level solution for linearity	Concentration (mg/ml)	Peak area
25%	0.2	592923
50%	0.4	884188
75%	0.8	1195447
100%	1.6	2148712
125%	3.2	3960322
150%	6.4	6905918

Table-5: Specificity

Peak Name	Retention time	Retention ratio
Chrysin	3.3	0.76

Table-6: Method precision



Injection	Peak area	Retention time
1	3.374	636209
2	3.373	679711
3	3.375	671889
4	3.424	673617
5	3.393	684188
6	3.417	675641
AVG	3.3926	676875.8
SD	0.0228	4448.908
%RSD	0.67	0.65

Table-7: Robustness parameters

S.no	Parameter Variations	Area of chrysin	Standard deviation	%RSD
1	Flow rate	583538	2886.63	0.49
		0.8ml 582068		
		587638		
		598999	2446.05	0.41
		1.2ml 595014		
		599464		
2	Wavelength	677678	3270.58	0.49
		266nm 6739373		
		671157		
		270nm 671421	4175.54	0.62
		677858		
		679247		

Table-8: Accuracy data for chrysin

Level	Peak area	Found concentration(mg/ml)	%Recovery
50%	83692	1.012397	101.2
	83924	1.971074	98.5
	84176	3.012397	100.4
	2338912	1.028262	102
100%	2202835	1.985743	99
	2626511	2.957501	98
	6207572	1.018297	101
	7668229	3.012937	99
150%	7601714	1.985642	100


Fig.1 Chrysin

Fig-2: Optimized chromatogram of chrysin




Fig-3: Maximum absorption wavelength ($\lambda_{\max}$) of Chrysin- UV Determination




Fig-4 Linearity plot of Chrysin

Regression equation parameters: Slope-102323; Y-intercept-465802; Correlation coefficient: 0.9974

Chromatograms for specificity




Fig-5: Chromatogram for blank




Fig-6: Chromatogram for chrysin (retention time 3.3 min)




Fig-7: Limit of Detection




Fig-8: Limit of Quantification

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to DST-CURIE for providing HPLC facility

CONFLICTS

None

REFERENCES

- Noh K, Oh DG, Nepal MR, Jeong KS, Choi Y, Kang HJ, Kang W, Jeong HG and Jeong TC. *Pharmacokinetic Interaction of Chrysin with Caffeine in Rats*. *Biomol Ther* 24(4), 446-452 (2016)
- Careri M, Elviri L, Mangia A, Musci M., *Validation of a liquid chromatography ion spray mass spectrometry method for the analysis of flavanones, flavones and flavanols*. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom*, 13:2399-2405, (1999).
- Bruschi ML, Franco SL and Gremiao MPD., *Application of an HPLC method for analysis of Propolis extract*. *J Liq Chromatog R T*, 26 (14): 2399-2409, (2003).
- Maria C, Claudio C, Lisa E, Isabella N and Ingrid Z., *Direct HPLC analysis of Quercetin and trans-veratrol in red wine, grape, and wine making byproducts*. *J Agric Food Chem*, 51 (18): 5226-5231, (2003).
- Walle T, Otake Y, Brubaker JA, Walle UK and Halushka PV., *Disposition and metabolism of the flavonoid chrysin in normal volunteers*. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*, 51(2): 143-146, (2001).
- Kim KS, Shin JS, Park Y, Lee S, Kim YB and Kim BK., *High-Performance Liquid Chromatographic Analysis of Chrysin Derivatives on A Nova-Pak /C18 Column*. *Arch Pharm Res*, 25 (5): 613-616, (2002).
- Zaveri M, Khandhar A, Jain S., *quantification of Baicalein, chrysin, biochanin-A and ellagic acid in root bark of oroxylum indicum by RP-HPLC with UV detection*. *Eurasian J Anal Chem*, 3(1306-3057): 246-257, (2008).
- Srilatha Srinivas K and Saraf Aparna A., *High performance thin layer chromatographic determination of chrysin in oroxylum indicum vent from different geographical regions of India*. *E-J Chem*, 9 (1): 313-317, (2012).
- Nie P, Xia Z, Sun DW and He Y., *Application of Visible and Near Infrared Spectroscopy for Rapid Analysis of Chrysin and Galangin in Chinese Propolis*. *Journal of Sensors*, 13 (1424-8220): 10539-10549, (2013).
- Cui-ping Z, Shuai H, Wen-ting W, Shun P, Xiao-ge S, Ya-jing L and Fu-Liang H., *Development of high performance liquid chromatographic for quality and authenticity control of Chinese Propolis*. *J Food Sci*, 00: C1-C8, (2014).
- Tang WT, Fang MF, Liu X and Yue M., *Simultaneous Quantitative and Qualitative Analysis of Flavonoids from Ultraviolet-B Radiation in Leaves and Roots of Scutellaria baicalensis Georgi Using LC-UV-ESI-Q/TOF/MS*. *J Anal Methods Chem*, 1-9, (2014).
- Noh K, MRN Do Gyeong Oh, Jeong KS., *Pharmacokinetic Interaction of Chrysin with Caffeine in Rats*. *Biomol Ther*, 24 (4): 446-452, (2016).
- Sesharao M, Madhavarao V., *A New validated simultaneous reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography assay method for estimation of two flavones (Baicalein and Chrysin in API drugs)*. *Asian J Pharm Clin Res*, 11 (1): 351-356, (2018).
- Zhao X, Su X, Liu C and Jia Y., *Simultaneous determination of chrysin and Tectochrysin from Alpinia oxyphylla fruits by UPLC-MS/MS and its application to a comparative pharmacokinetic study in normal and dementia rats*. *Molecules*, 23 (1702): 1-11, (2018).
- Anandhi R, Annadurai T, Anitha TS, Muralidharan AR, Najmunnisha K, Nachiappan V, Thomas PA, Pitchairaj G., *Antihypercholesterolemic and antioxidative effects of an extract of the oyster mushroom, pleurotus and its major constituent, chrysin, in triton WR-1339-induced hypercholesterolemia rats*. *J Physiol Biochem*, 69(2): 313-3123, (2013).
- ICH, Q2A, *Harmonized Tripartite Guideline, Validation of analytical Procedure Methodology*. Geneva: IFPMA, Proceedings of the International Conference on Harmonization; (1994).
- ICH Harmonized Tripartite Guideline. *Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology*; (2005).
- ICH Q2B Harmonized Tripartite Guideline. *Validation of Analytical Procedure Methodology*. Geneva: IFPMA, Proceeding of the International Conference on Harmonization; (1996).