

Effect of pH on Chromium Biosorption

D.Seshikala & M.A.Singara Charya*

*Department of Microbiology, Kakatiya University,
Warangal – 506 009, A.P. India*

*Corresponding Author Email: dr.shashidsk@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Twelve soil fungi were isolated from tannery effluent amended soils and studied for chromium absorption at different concentrations. Among them, seven commonly occurred organisms were selected and studied. The chromium absorption decreased with increase in the initial Cr concentration and at higher Cr concentration the fungi failed to grow. To improve the chromium absorption capacity organisms were exposed to different pH ranges. A ochraceous, *A.terreus*, *Fusarium sp.* *Penicillium sp.* And *Rhizoctonia solani* showed (100%) improvement in chromium absorption at different pH ranges.

KEYWORDS

Soil fungi, Hexavalent chromium, Absorption and pH

INTRODUCTION

Hexavalent chromium compounds are being used in wide variety of commercial processes and unregulated disposal of chromium containing effluents in both developing and developed Countries has led to the contamination of the soil, sediments, surface and ground water^{1, 2}. In trace amounts, chromium is considered as an essential nutrient for numerous microorganisms, but at elevated levels, it is toxic, mutagenic, carcinogenic, tartogenic³. Nearly 80% of the tannery effluents in India are discharged in untreated form into the environment^{4, 5}. The methods available for treatment of these effluents are oxidation, reduction, precipitation, ion exchange, reaction with silica, electro chemical reduction, evaporation, reverse osmosis and direct precipitation etc.^{6, 7}. All these processes are expensive non-renewable and ecologically non viable. Once in the environment these toxic heavy metals enter, they undergo transformation in to different mobile forms⁸.

Microorganisms which are ubiquitous and may even be dominant in adverse environment, exhibit

a variety of responses towards heavy metals⁹. Bioremediation is the approach to the abatement of toxic metal pollutants, selecting appropriate microorganisms. Among the microbes the highly exploited are the bacteria for absorption of chromium from industrial effluents^{10, 11, 12, 13}. However fungal organisms offer an advantage of having high percentage of cell wall material for excellent metal binding properties^{14, 15, 16}.

In view of the above facts, due to high occurrence of fungi in tannery effluent amended soils, the fungi were selected as a tool for the treatment of chromium effluents. It was felt that the organisms mutated to absorb more chromium as they are continuously exposed to chromium concentrations. In this study thirteen fungal organisms were isolated and screened for better absorption of chromium. As different organisms have different optional conditions like pH which plays an important role in Cr absorption. Among them, six better organisms were selected and studied.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation:

The fungal organisms were isolated through serial dilution technique in the soil samples, amended with the tannery effluents. These isolated organisms were maintained on Asthana and Hawker's (A and H) slants 5 gm. Glucose; 3.5 gm. KNO₃; 1.75 gm. KH₂PO₄; 0.75 gm. MgSO₄; 20 gm Agar and 1L Distilled water. (All chemical were supplied by Sd fine). K₂Cr₂O₇ (Sd. Fine) was used as chromium source. Diphenyl Carbazide (Merck Company) is used as a reagent for the qualitative analysis of chromium (Spectrophotometer, Elico SL 171 Mini Spec). Isolated fungal organisms were screened for better absorption capacity. A and H

broth has prepared with different concentrations of chromium starting from 0 to 100 ppm. But all the organisms showed 100% absorption up to 15 ppm, except *Mucor mucedo* and *Dactylosporium* species. Each organism was inoculated at increased concentrations from 0 to 100 ppm in triplicates and average results were shown in the table. But after 40 ppm the organisms failed to grow. That's why results were shown between 20 to 40 ppm only. At every concentration blanks were maintained. At the time of harvest (after 10 days) blanks were considered as initial concentrations, and test values were deducted from the initial concentrations and results were presented in the **Table 1.**

TABLE 1: SCREENING OF ORGANISM FOR CHROMIUM BIOSORPTION

Initial Conc.ppm	20		25		30		35		40	
	G	C%	G	C%	G	C%	G	C%	G	C%
<i>Alternaria alternate</i>	241	70.5	114	40	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Ascohyta betae</i>	209	85	140	60	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Aspergillus ochraceus</i>	241	94.1	115	85	114	84	11.1	40	-	-
<i>Aspergillus terreus</i>	251	94.3	127	94	117	71	11.7	39	-	-
<i>Curvularia lunata</i>	249	58	129	36	119	27	-	-	-	-
<i>Dactylosporium sp</i>	114	74	110	37	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Drechslara rostata</i>	309	92	124	66	221	64	-	-	-	-
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	204	95	117	73	110	60	-	-	-	-
<i>Pencillium Notatum</i>	341	93.7	349	94.1	114	67.7	11.9	42.6	-	-
<i>Pyrenochaeta cajani</i>	248	71.5	110	56.4	111	33.3	-	-	-	-
<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	151	67	144	40.8	112	173	-	-	-	-
<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	312	939	249	94.1	229	90	11.7	60	53	35

G= Dry weight of mycelial mat in "mg"

C% = Chromium absorption in %

" - " No growth and no absorption.

pH Studies:

Fungi failed to grow up to 3pH and added chromium was precipitated after 8pH. Hence experiments were conducted in between 3pH to 7pH. 25 ppm of A and H broth was prepared and pH was adjusted with Elico pH meter. Different blanks were prepared at each pH stage, and the

values were evaluated and presented in the table 2. After 10 days of incubation cultures were harvested and fungal mat was oven dried and weighed. The culture filtrate was studied for chromium absorption.

Chromium estimation:

0.5 ml of D.P.C. reagent (250mg/50ml Acetone) was added to 1 ml (0.02M H₂SO₄) sample (0.1 ml Cr source + 0.4 ml Distilled water) pink colour was developed which was read at 540nm.

These readings were also cross checked with AAS (Atomic Absorption Spectra).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the **Table 1**, it was observed that absorption capacity of the Cr by the organism was decreased with increased initial Cr concentration. At higher Cr concentrations most of the fungi failed to grow except *Mucor mucedo* (at 10 and 15 ppm) and *Dactylosporium* (at 15 and 20 ppm). The remaining fungi showed 100% absorption up to 15 ppm. However *Rhizoctonia solani* recorded better absorption capacity up to 40 ppm with 53 mg of dry weight. It showed 100% absorption up to 15 ppm and 94% up to 20 and 25 ppm with 312 and 244 mg of dry weights. Second best organism was *Pencillium notatum* with better dry weights and good absorption in high chromium concentrations (341 mg, 94% absorption at 20 ppm of Cr and 394 mg, 94% of absorption at 25 ppm of Cr). *Aspergillus* species, *A. ochraceous*, *A. terreus* absorbed Cr for

about 94% with 241 and 251 dry weights respectively. *Drechslera rostata* showed 64% absorption of chromium at 30 ppm with dry weight 221mg. *Alternaria alternate* showed less absorption (40%) with 114 mg dry weight at 25 ppm chromium concentration the remaining fungi showed moderated absorption rates. From the **Table 2** it was observed that six different organism responded differently in varied pH ranges *A.ochraceous* and *A.terreus* showed maximum absorption (100%) at 3 and 4 pH with relatively good growth. *A.Terreus* showed its maximum Cr absorption while its growth was 310 and 299 mg in 3 and 4pH respectively. The absorption of these fungi were subsequently declined in neutral pH. *Rhizoctonia solani* improved its absorption rate at pH 5 and 6 (97.2% and 99.1%) with dry weights 341 mg and 220 mg respectively. *Alternaria alternata* improved the absorption of chromium at 5pH (96%) with 271 mg of dry weight and neutral pH showed only 37% of absorption. *Drechslera rostata* recorded 79% at 3 pH and was increased to 96% with 201 mg dry weight at pH 5. *Fusarium oxysporum* recorded 100% absorption at pH 4 and 74% at neutral pH. *Pencillium notatum* showed 100% cr absorption at 6 pH.

Table 2:

Name/pH	3		4		5		6		7	
	G	C%	G	C%	G	C%	G	C%	G	C%
<i>Aspergillus ochraceous</i>	300	100	291	95	297	60.5	220	32	210	10.6
<i>Aspergillus terreus</i>	310	100	299	100	298	72.6	270	60	120	14.8
<i>Alternaria alternate</i>	115	69	251	68	271	96	268	70.5	310	37
<i>Drechslara rostata</i>	171	79	101	60	145	85	201	96	210	15
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	241	47.32	201	100	276	67.6	278	60	171	74
<i>Pencillium Notatum</i>	309	69.3	201	68	258	78.16	301	100	170	06
<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i>	209	75.6	240	80	341	97.2	220	99.1	140	24.5

G= Dry weight of mycelial mat in "mg"

C% = Chromium absorption in %

"_" No growth and no absorption.

The pH influences the formation of metal biosorbent complexes, pH variation can affect the availability of metallic elements in solution and also the chemical state of the functional groups responsible for the metal binding in the biomass¹⁷. In the similar study, pH played vital role in uptake of chromium ions in to yeast cells¹⁸. When pretreated microbial biomass was taken and studied hexavalent chromium reduction was studied. Maximum biosorption efficiency was evident at neutral pH with a metal remover efficiency of 99%. *S. cerevisiae* was then pretreated with NaOH and Acetic acid to study the role of proteins and amino acids, respectively in biosorption. At pH 7, 9 and 11, biomass pretreated with NaOH exhibited significant biosorption as compared to raw biomass and then treated with Acetic acid¹⁹. Similar to our present work, the effect of pH on Cr (VI) reduction and removal from aqueous solution was studied in the range of 1 – 4. The removal rate was enhanced in acidic conditions²⁰.

CONCLUSIONS

Microorganisms play a vital role in the food chain ,in medicine preparations ,and in industrial effluent treatment also. Present study aims at exploitation of microbial capacities for the betterment of the society. Because industries are polluting the environment by letting out their poisonous gases,effluents and solid waste. In this study author took pH as tool to increase the absorption efficiencies soil microbes in hexavalent. Chromium absorption from industrial effluent containing chromium. Seven most frequently occurred fungi were taken and allowed to grow in different pH, and Chromium concentrations and found that every individual organism is better in particular pH level.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I sincerely thank the Department of Microbiology, Kakatiya University Warangal for providing all facilities in the department.

REFERENCES

1. *Xing, L and Okrent D., 1993.* Future risk from a hypotherised RCRA site disposing of carcinogenic metal should be a loss of social memory occur. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 34 (1) : 363 – 84.
2. *Szulezewski, M.D., Helmke, P.A. and Bleam, W.F. 1997.* Comparison of XANES analysis and extractions to determine chromium speciate in contaminated soils. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 31 (10): 2954 – 59.
3. *Shen, H. and Wang, Y.T. 1993.* Characterisation of enzymatic reduction of hexavalent chromium by *Escherichia Coli* ATCC 33456. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 59 (11): 3771 – 3777.
4. *Rajamani, S., Ramasanni, T.Langerwerf, J.S.A. and Schappman J.E., 1995.* Environment management in tanneries feasible chromium recovery and reverse system. In: *Proceedings on 3rd international conference on appropriate waste management Technologies for developing countries.* Nagpur, pp. 965 – 973.
5. *RaniGupta, Prerna Ahuja, Seema Khan, Saxema R.K. and Harapriya Mohapatra., 2000.* Microbial biosorbents: Meeting challenges of heavy metal pollution in a aqueous solution. *Current Sciences*, 78 (8) : 967 - 973.
6. *Marshall, S. 1980.* Metal and inorganic waste reclamation encyclopaedic wagers Batavcorporation., park ridge, New Jersey.
7. *Patterson, J.W., 1985.* Industrial waste water treatment technology, Aun Arbor science Pub. Inc. Aun Arbor., p.53.
8. *Srinath, T., Vernma, T., Ramteke, P.W. and Gark, S.K. 2002.* Chromium (VI) biosorption and bio accumulation by chromate resistant bacteria, *Chemosphere*, 48 : 427 – 435.
9. *Gadd, 1987.* Microbes in Extreme environments, Academic press London.
10. *Rajwade, J.M., Salunkhe, P.B. and Pakniker, K.M. 1999.* Biochemical basis of chromate reductions by *pseudomonas medocina*, *process metallurgy*, 105.
11. *Bhide, J.V. Dhadephalkar, P.K. and Paknikar, K.M.1996,* *Biotechnol. Letter*, 18: 667.
12. *Ramachandra, 2001.* Microbial Treatment of Taumery effluent. *Indian Journal of Microbiology*, 41: 103 – 106.
13. *Gregory, W.L., Joseph, W.T. William, R.S. and Robert, M.P. 1983.* Hexavalent Chromium – resistant bacteria isolated from river sediments. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 46: 846 – 54

14. Gadd, G.M. Mohammed, M., Lynn M.Ramsay, JacquilineA, Sager, R.Amanda, Whitely and Christopher white, 1990, fungal processes for bioremediation of toxic metal and radio nuclide pollution. J.Chem. Technol, Biotechnol., 49: 331-43.
15. Rosenberger, R.F. 1975. In filamentous fungi. Edward Arnold, London, 22: 328 – 342.
16. Paknikar, K.M. Paknikar, U.S. and Puranik, P.R. 1993. Biosorption of Metals from solutions by mycelial waste of *Penicillium chrysogenum*, Biohydrometalurgical Technologies, 11 : 229 – 235.
17. Zhou, J.L. and R.J.Kiff (1991) the uptake of copper from aqueous solution by immobilized fungal biomass. J.Chem. Tech. Biotechnol, 52: 317 – 330.
18. Vlatka, G.Z., V.S.Tomas, S.Graha, L.Lutitsky and D.Kozlek (2001). Cr uptake by *saccharomyces cerevisiae* and isolation of glucose tolerance factor from yeast biomass. J.Biosci. 26: 217 – 223.
19. K. Parvathi, R. Nagendran and R. Naresh kumar. Effect of pH on Cr biosorption by chemically treated *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Journal of scientific and Industrial Research. Vol. 66, Aug 2007, pp.675 – 679.
20. B.Silva., H. Figueiredo, I. C. Neves, and T. Tavares. The role of pH on Cr(VI) reduction and removal by *Arthobacter viscosus*. International journal of chemical and biological engineering 2:2 2009.



***Corresponding Author:**

Dr.D.Seshi kala

Lecturer in Environmental Sciences,
Kakatiya University Engineering college,
Warangal.